

**BEAMFORMING AND TIME REVERSAL IMAGING FOR
NEAR-FIELD ELECTROMAGNETIC LOCALISATION
USING PLANAR ANTENNA ARRAYS**

MOHAMMED JAINUL ABEDIN

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SYDNEY (UTS)

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

APRIL 2011

Certificate

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.



Mohammed Jainul Abedin

Acknowledgements

During the time I worked on this project many people provided me valuable help and guidance. First and foremost, I wish to thank my supervisor Associate Professor Ananda Mohan Sanagavarapu (a.k.a. A. S. Mohan) for giving me the opportunity, support, inspiration and guidance necessary for undertaking this research work. The topics reported in this thesis formed part of ARC grants allocated to my supervisor. I would also like to thank my co-supervisor Professor Hung Nguyen for his help and support, and for providing me with a Health Technologies Top-Up scholarship.

I would like to express my gratitude to the Australian Government for giving me, initially, an International Postgraduate Research Scholarship (IPRS) and, later an Australian Postgraduate Award (APA) along with tuition fees waiver through a Research and Training Scheme (RTS). I also wish to thank University of Technology, Sydney (UTS) and the Faculty of Engineering and IT for supporting me throughout my study including Faculty of Engineering International Student Extension Scholarship, Faculty of Engineering Health Technologies Top Up, Faculty of Engineering Top Up Scholarship, Thesis Completion Equity Grant, Vice Chancellor Conference Grant and Faculty funding for conference attendance.

My special thanks go to Dr. Tony Huang a past member of Ananda's group at UTS for many useful discussions at the initial stages of my research at UTS. I also wish to thank our group members: Fan Yang, Muhammad Yazed, Masud Rana, Delwar Hossain and other fellow students of the Centre for Health Technologies for their generous help and company. I am very grateful to Michelle Black for assisting me with English editing. I also express my appreciation to Rosa Tay and Phyllis Agius for their ongoing help with administrative support throughout my study.

I am thankful to my friends Monir, Upal and Sayem for their great support during my early days in Australia. I am very grateful to my wife Rupa and son Lahin for their hearty support and love. I am really proud of being a son of wonderful parents who gave me constant encouragement, mental support and the merit in having patience.

Abstract

The localisation of radiating sources of electromagnetic waves in the near-field of a receiver antenna array are of use in a vast range of applications, such as in microwave imaging, wireless communications, RFID, real time localisation systems and remote sensing etc. Localisation of targets embedded in a background dielectric medium, which is usually the case in Radar, UWB imaging and remote sensing, can be done using the scattered response received at the antennas. In this thesis, we investigate methods for localisation of both near-field radiating as well as scattering sources of electromagnetic waves.

For localisation of near-field radiating sources, planar antenna arrays such as concentric circular ring array (CCRA), uniform rectangular array (URA), uniform circular array (UCA) and elliptic array are employed. The thesis employs beamforming and parameter estimation methods for localisation and proposes novel algorithms that are based on standard Capon beamformer (SCB), subspace based superresolution algorithms (MUSIC and ESPRIT) and maximum likelihood (ML) methods. Complex array geometries can suffer from severe mutual coupling and are susceptible to array modelling errors. These errors impair the performance of algorithms that are used for beamforming and parameter estimation for localisation. To overcome the limitations of standard Capon beamformer (SCB), a modified capon beamforming method is proposed to make SCB robust against both array modelling error and mutual coupling effects. The proposed method is applied with planar antenna arrays for localisation of near-field sources. Planar arrays are also used with MUSIC and ESPRIT superresolution algorithms for performance investigation in a near-field source localisation. Here, to reduce the computational burden of standard MUSIC and ESPRIT algorithms, a novel method to estimate the range using the time-delay is proposed. Lastly, to overcome the performance limitations of superresolution algorithms with planar arrays, the ML estimation is investigated for the localisation of near-field sources using planar arrays. Since ML method cannot automatically detect the number of sources, a novel method is proposed here for detecting the number of sources. Finally, performance comparisons of all the methods under investigation have been presented using computer simulations.

In order to localise targets embedded either in homogeneous or in heterogeneous background medium, we employ time reversal (TR) techniques that localise based on the received scattering responses from the embedded targets. We propose a novel beamspace-TR technique that can achieve efficient focusing on targets embedded in both a homogeneous and heterogeneous dielectric background media. It is shown that prior to back propagation, applying beamspace processing to the TR operation in the receiving mode helps achieve a reduced dimensional computation and achieves selective focusing. We have also proposed beamspace-TR-MUSIC algorithm for improving the resolution of standard TR-MUSIC algorithm. Performance of these techniques is investigated for localising the target embedded in a clutter rich dielectric background where the dielectric contrast between the target and the background medium is very low. We also propose to extend the maximum likelihood based TR (TR-ML) to improve the focusing ability and to help to localise dielectric targets embedded in a highly cluttered dielectric medium. To prove the ability of the proposed algorithms, they are applied to the problem of UWB radar imaging for the detection of early stage breast cancer. Computer simulations are used for the investigation of the imaging performance of TR, beamspace-TR, TR-MUSIC, beamspace-TR-MUSIC and TR-ML methods on a two-dimensional electromagnetic heterogeneous dielectric scattering model of the breast.

Contents

Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abstract.....	iii
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xix
List of Abbreviations.....	xx
Symbols and Notations.....	xxi
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Near-Field Localisation	3
1.2 Use of Antenna Geometries for Localisation	5
1.3 Methods for Localisation.....	6
1.4 Thesis Organisation.....	21
1.5 Contributions Made in this Thesis	22
Chapter 2. Localisation using Planar Antenna Arrays with the Capon Beamforming Method 27	
2.1 Introduction.....	27
2.2 Source Localisation using a Beamforming Method.....	31
2.3 Planar Antenna Arrays	33
2.4 Signal Modelling.....	39
2.4.1 Non-Coplanar Transmitter and Receiver Dipoles.....	40
2.4.2 Vertically Oriented Coplanar Transmitter and Receiver Dipoles.....	41
2.4.3 Coplanar Horizontal Transmitter and Vertical Receiver Dipoles.....	42
2.5 Effects of Wireless Channel on Localisation.....	43

- 2.5.1 Path Loss due to Multipath..... 43
- 2.5.2 Angular Spread 44
- 2.5.3 Fading Channel..... 46
- 2.6 Standard Capon Beamformer: Introduction and Limitations 48
 - 2.6.1 Standard Capon Beamformer 48
 - 2.6.2 SCB with Diagonal Loading (DL-SCB) 51
 - 2.6.3 Robust Capon Beamformer (RCB)..... 53
- 2.7 Modified Standard Capon Beamformer (M-SCB): Proposal 55
 - 2.7.1 Computation of Array Weights in M-SCB..... 56
 - 2.7.2 Power Estimation using M-SCB 57
 - 2.7.3 Compensation of Mutual Coupling and Array Modelling Error 58
 - 2.7.4 Effect of Steering Vector Mismatch in Beamforming with Planar Arrays ... 60
- 2.8 Beamforming using M-SCB in a Multipath Scenario 61
- 2.9 Simulation Results 63
 - 2.9.1 M-SCB for ULA 64
 - 2.9.2 Performance of M-SCB for Planar Antenna Arrays 68
- 2.10 Discussion 80
- Chapter 3. Localisation using Planar Antenna Arrays with Superresolution Algorithms
81
 - 3.1 Introduction..... 81
 - 3.2 Array Manifold: Arbitrary Array 85
 - 3.2.1 Manifold of a Planar Arbitrary Array for 3-D Localisation 88
 - 3.2.2 Manifold of a Planar Arbitrary Array for 2D Localisation 89
 - 3.3 Localisation using Planar Antenna Arrays 89
 - 3.3.1 Beamspace Processing 90

3.3.2	Near-Field Parameter Estimation.....	92
3.4	Subspace based Estimation Algorithms: MUSIC and ESPRIT.....	93
3.4.1	Signal Modelling.....	93
3.4.2	MUSIC Algorithm for Bearing Estimation	96
3.4.3	ESPRIT Algorithm for Bearing Angle Estimation	97
3.4.4	Estimation of Range using Time-Delay	98
3.5	Effect of Mutual Coupling.....	99
3.5.1	Mutual Coupling Compensation Techniques	103
3.5.2	Computation of Compensation Matrix using Genetic Algorithm	108
3.5.3	Performance Comparison of Mutual Coupling Compensation Methods....	109
3.6	Calibration and Array Position Error	111
3.7	Simulation Results	112
3.8	Discussion.....	126
Chapter 4.	Localisation using Planar Antenna Arrays with Maximum Likelihood Method	127
4.1	Introduction.....	127
4.2	Performance Degradation due to Preprocessing in a Superresolution Algorithm	132
4.3	Signal Modelling for Near-Field Localization.....	133
4.4	Compensation of Array Mutual Coupling for ML Method.....	134
4.5	ML Estimation of Near-Field Parameters	136
4.6	ML Localization of Wideband Sources.....	140
4.6.1	CCRA for Wideband Source Localisation	140
4.6.2	Wideband Signal Processing for Localisation.....	141
4.6.3	Simulation Results for Wideband Source Localisation.....	142

4.7	Detection of Number of Signals	145
4.8	Estimation of Phase Reference	146
4.9	Simulation Results	147
4.10	Discussion	157
Chapter 5. Localisation of Embedded Targets using Time Reversal Technique ..		159
5.1	Introduction.....	159
5.2	Time Reversal Technique.....	165
5.2.1	Decomposition of Time Reversal Operator (DORT) Method.....	168
5.2.2	TR Method in the Presence of Multiple Scattering.....	173
5.3	Beamspace-TR Technique.....	174
5.3.1	Beamspace Processing in TR Operation	175
5.3.2	Computation of Beamspace Processing Matrix.....	176
5.4	Time Reversal MUSIC Technique.....	179
5.5	Maximum Likelihood based Time Reversal Technique	181
5.6	Backscattered Signal Modelling using 2-D Dielectric Cylinder.....	183
5.6.1	Backscattered Signal Modelling for UWB Excitation.....	186
5.6.2	Effects of Frequency Domain Processing of UWB Signal for TR.....	189
5.7	Localisation of Lossy Dielectric Target Embedded in Heterogeneous Background	191
5.8	Simulation Results	195
5.8.1	Imaging of Point Like Targets in Homogeneous Background.....	196
5.8.2	Imaging of Cylindrical Targets Embedded in Homogeneous Medium	199
5.8.3	Imaging of Target Malignant Tissue in a 2-D Breast Model	206
5.9	Discussion.....	217

Chapter 6. Conclusions and Scope for Future Work219

6.1 Contributions 219

6.2 Conclusions..... 228

6.3 Scope for Future Work 232

Appendix I234

Bibliography: 241

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Passive localisation of near-field emitting source using planar arbitrary array..	2
Figure 1.2: Localisation of embedded targets using radar imaging technique.	2
Figure 2.1: Uniform linear array (ULA) with dipole antennas.....	33
Figure 2.2: Uniform rectangular array (URA).....	35
Figure 2.3: Concentric circular ring array (CCRA).	37
Figure 2.4: Uniform elliptic array of dipoles.....	38
Figure 2.5: Non-coplanar receiver antenna array and vertical dipole source.....	39
Figure 2.6: Vertical dipole source coplanar with receiver array.....	41
Figure 2.7: Horizontal dipole source coplanar with receiver antenna array.....	43
Figure 2.8: Circular ring model for calculation of angular spread due to near-field scattering around the emitting source.....	45
Figure 2.9: Power spectrum of beamformers for comparison of estimated DOAs.....	65
Figure 2.10: Output SINR versus SNR for ULA using M-SCB.....	65
Figure 2.11: Output SINR with respect to signal snapshots for ULA using M-SCB.	65
Figure 2.12: Beampattern for ULA using M-SCB for different number of array elements.	66
Figure 2.13: Comparison of beamformers output SINR with respect to input signal power for ULA.	67
Figure 2.14: Power spectrum for estimation of AOA of SOI after suppressing the interferences.....	67
Figure 2.15: Beampattern in azimuth plane for elliptic array using M-SCB.....	69

Figure 2.16: Beampattern in elevation plane for elliptic array using M-SCB..... 69

Figure 2.17: Output SINR with respect to signal snapshots for an elliptic array using M-SCB. 69

Figure 2.18: Output SINR versus SNR for elliptic array using M-SCB. 70

Figure 2.19: Beampattern in azimuth plane for UCA using M-SCB..... 70

Figure 2.20: Beampattern in elevation plane for UCA using M-SCB. 71

Figure 2.21: Output SINR with respect to signal snapshots for UCA using M-SCB. 71

Figure 2.22: Output SINR versus SNR for UCA using M-SCB..... 71

Figure 2.23: Beampattern in azimuth plane for URA using M-SCB..... 72

Figure 2.24: Beampattern in elevation for URA using M-SCB..... 72

Figure 2.25: Output SINR with respect to signal snapshots for URA using M-SCB. 73

Figure 2.26: Output SINR versus SNR for URA using M-SCB..... 73

Figure 2.27: Beampattern in azimuth plane for CCRA using M-SCB..... 74

Figure 2.28: Beampattern in elevation plane for CCRA using M-SCB..... 74

Figure 2.29: Output SINR with respect to signal snapshots for CCRA using M-SCB..... 75

Figure 2.30: Output SINR versus SNR for CCRA using M-SCB. 75

Figure 2.31: Comparison of output SINR with respect to signal snapshots for planar arrays using M-SCB. 76

Figure 2.32: Comparison of output SINR versus SNR for planar arrays using M-SCB..... 76

Figure 2.33: Comparison of the performance of beamformers for estimation of azimuth angle using CCRA..... 78

Figure 2.34: Comparison of the performance of beamformers for estimation of range using CCRA. 78

Figure 2.35: Comparison of the performance of M-SCB with CCRA for estimating azimuth angle (left) and range (right) by using data computed from the analytical expression and full-wave simulation. 80

Figure 3.1: Planar arbitrary antenna array. 87

Figure 3.2: Calculation of mutual coupling effect between two dipole antennas by EMF method. 101

Figure 3.3: Calculated mutual impedances by EMF method..... 102

Figure 3.4: Magnitude of the impedance matrix elements for a UCA formed of 8-element thin dipoles..... 102

Figure 3.5: Magnitude of the elements of impedance matrix for a planar arbitrary antenna array formed of 8-element thin dipoles. 103

Figure 3.6: Uniform circular array (UCA). 113

Figure 3.7: Magnitude of m -th array element characteristics of the planar arbitrary antenna array..... 114

Figure 3.8: Magnitude of m -th array element characteristics of a CCRA..... 115

Figure 3.9: Magnitude of m -th array element characteristics of a UCA. 115

Figure 3.10: Eigenvalues of the estimated covariance matrix..... 116

Figure 3.11: 2-D MUSIC pseudospectrum for the estimation of azimuth and elevation angles using a planar arbitrary antenna array. 117

Figure 3.12: Contour plot for estimating the azimuth and the elevation angles of near-field sources. 117

Figure 3.13: The coefficients obtained from cross-correlation among the eigenvectors of signal subspace..... 118

Figure 3.14: Estimated azimuth angles using ESPRIT algorithm with a planar arbitrary antenna array. 119

Figure 3.15: Estimated elevation angles using ESPRIT with a planar arbitrary antenna array. 119

Figure 3.16: Histogram plot of estimated azimuth angles using ESPRIT algorithm. 120

Figure 3.17: Histogram plot of estimated elevation angles using ESPRIT algorithm. 120

Figure 3.18: Correlation coefficient for computing the compensation matrix by applying GA for an arbitrary antenna array. 121

Figure 3.19: MUSIC pseudospectrum after compensating for mutual coupling effect. ... 121

Figure 3.20: MUSIC pseudospectrum in ideal case without mutual coupling effect. 122

Figure 3.21: Performance comparison between MUSIC and ESPRIT algorithm for the estimation of azimuth angle using planar antenna arrays. 123

Figure 3.22: Performance comparison of the computed range using planar antenna arrays. 123

Figure 3.23: Performance comparison for the estimation of azimuth angle with respect to SNR using superresolution algorithms with a CCRA. 124

Figure 3.24: Performance comparison of computed range for different input SNR using estimated time delay with CCRA. 124

Figure 3.25: Performance comparison for the estimation of (i) azimuth angle using MUSIC and ESPRIT algorithms and (ii) range using cross correlation based time-delay estimation method. 126

Figure 4.1: Performance comparison of ML and MUSIC method with CCRA for the estimation of azimuth angle of wideband near-field source. 144

Figure 4.2: Performance comparison of ML and MUSIC method with CCRA for the estimation of elevation angle of wideband near-field source. 144

Figure 4.3: Performance comparison of ML and MUSIC method with CCRA for the estimation of the range for wideband near-field source. 145

Figure 4.4: Estimated locations of near-field sources using ML method with a CCRA... 149

Figure 4.5: Estimated locations using ML method with CCRA for randomly varied source positions..... 150

Figure 4.6: V-shaped planar array that consists two subarrays of ULA. 151

Figure 4.7: Estimated x and y coordinates using V array (when $\gamma=60^\circ$) with ML method. 151

Figure 4.8: Estimated x and y coordinates using V array (when $\gamma=90^\circ$) with ML method. 152

Figure 4.9: Estimated x and y coordinates using V array (when $\gamma=120^\circ$) with ML method. 152

Figure 4.10: Detection of the number of sources using the proposed method. 153

Figure 4.11: Detection of the number of sources using the MDL criteria. 153

Figure 4.12: Estimated coordinate x_1 of first source using different antenna arrays. 154

Figure 4.13: Estimated coordinate x_2 of second source using different antenna arrays... 154

Figure 4.14: Estimated coordinate y_1 of first source using different antenna arrays. 154

Figure 4.15: Estimated coordinate y_2 of second source using different antenna arrays... 155

Figure 4.16: RMSE of estimated azimuth angle using ML method with different antenna arrays. 155

Figure 4.17: RMSE of estimated range using ML method with different antenna arrays. 155

Figure 4.18: Performance comparison for estimated azimuth angles using ML method with CCRA. 157

Figure 4.19: Performance comparison for estimated range using ML method with CCRA. 157

Figure 5.1: Multistatic radar imaging by using time reversal technique..... 169

Figure 5.2: UWB pulses, (a) excitation with modified modulated hermite pulse, (b) response from background without target and (c) response from a dielectric target. 188

Figure 5.3: TR image of a perfectly conducting target embedded in a homogeneous background..... 189

Figure 5.4: Eigenvalues of a self-adjoint matrix by considering variable bandwidth of frequency bins for frequency domain processing of UWB signal. 190

Figure 5.5: Two-dimensional numerical breast model..... 193

Figure 5.6: Variation of conductivity (left) and permittivity (right) as a function of frequency. 195

Figure 5.7: Singular values of multistatic response matrix formed for two point-like targets embedded in a homogeneous background..... 197

Figure 5.8: Focusing a time reversed wave field into a homogeneous background. 197

Figure 5.9: TR-MUSIC pseudospectrum indicating predicted location of two point-like targets in the presence of multiple scattering..... 198

Figure 5.10: Beamspace-TR image of two closely spaced point-like targets embedded in a homogeneous background medium..... 199

Figure 5.11: Singular values of multistatic response matrix formed by using the backscattered responses from two dielectric targets embedded in a homogeneous background..... 201

Figure 5.12: TR image of two dielectric targets in homogeneous background..... 201

Figure 5.13: TR-MUSIC image of two dielectric targets embedded in homogeneous background..... 202

Figure 5.14: TR-MUSIC pseudospectrum for localisation of dielectric targets embedded in a homogeneous background..... 202

Figure 5.15: Beamspace-TR image of dielectric cylindrical targets embedded in a homogeneous background medium..... 203

Figure 5.16: TR-ML image of targets embedded in the homogeneous background. 204

Figure 5.17: Estimated locations of target by applying TR-ML technique with different trials..... 204

Figure 5.18: RMSE performance of TR-ML for imaging of two targets embedded in a homogenous background..... 205

Figure 5.19: Singular values multistatic matrix for a single target tissue embedded in N3-type tissue heterogeneities. 208

Figure 5.20: Singular values of multistatic matrix for a single target tissue embedded in N2-type tissue heterogeneities. 208

Figure 5.21: Singular values of multistatic matrix for a single target tissue embedded in N1-type tissue heterogeneities. 209

Figure 5.22: Beamspace-TR image of 2-D numerical breast model with N3-type tissue heterogeneities. 210

Figure 5.23: Conventional TR image of 2-D numerical breast model with N3-type tissue heterogeneities. 210

Figure 5.24: Beamspace-TR image of 2-D numerical breast model with N2-type tissue heterogeneities. 210

Figure 5.25: Conventional TR image of 2-D numerical breast model with N2-type tissue heterogeneities considered.....	211
Figure 5.26: Beamspace-TR image of 2-D numerical breast model with N1-type tissue heterogeneities.	211
Figure 5.27: TR-MUSIC image of 2-D numerical breast model with N3-type tissue heterogeneities.	212
Figure 5.28: Beamspace-TR-MUSIC image of 2-D numerical breast model with N3-type tissue heterogeneities.....	213
Figure 5.29: TR-MUSIC image of 2-D numerical breast model with N2-type tissue heterogeneities.	213
Figure 5.30: Beamspace-TR-MUSIC image of 2-D numerical breast model with N2-type tissue heterogeneities.....	214
Figure 5.31: TR-MUSIC image of 2-D numerical breast model with N1-type tissue heterogeneities.	214
Figure 5.32: Beamspace-TR-MUSIC image of 2-D numerical breast model with N1-type tissue heterogeneities.....	214
Figure 5.33: TR-ML image of a 2-D numerical breast model with N2 type tissue heterogeneities.	215
Figure 5.34: TR-ML image of 2-D numerical breast model with N1-type tissue heterogeneities.	216
Figure 6.1: Performance comparison of estimation methods using CCRA for azimuth angle estimation.....	229
Figure 6.2: Performance comparison of estimation methods using CCRA for range estimation.....	230

Figure 6.3: Performance comparison of estimation methods using URA for azimuth angle estimation..... 230

Figure 6.4: Performance comparison of estimation methods using URA for range estimation..... 230

Figure 6.5: Performance comparison of estimation methods using UCA for azimuth angle estimation..... 231

Figure 6.6: Performance comparison of estimation methods using UCA for range estimation..... 231

Figure 6.7: Performance comparison of estimation methods using uniform elliptic array for azimuth angle estimation. 231

Figure 6.8: Performance comparison of estimation methods using uniform elliptic array for range estimation. 232

List of Tables

Table 3.1: The normalised mutual impedances among the dipole elements of an arbitrary antenna array.	110
Table 3.2: Elements of compensation matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ which are calculated by using correlation of MUSIC pseudospectrums.	110
Table 3.3: Elements of compensation matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ which are calculated by using the proposed method.	110
Table 3.4: Estimated range using proposed method, true range and estimation error.	118
Table 3.5: Values of estimated compensation matrix using the proposed method.	125
Table 4.1: Computed compensation matrix $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ for the effect of mutual coupling.	135
Table 5.1: Dielectric parameters used for different breast regions in the numerical breast model.	195

List of Abbreviations

ULA	Uniform linear array
UCA	Uniform circular array
CCRA	Concentric circular ring array
URA	Uniform rectangular array
SCB	Standard Capon beamformer
M-SCB	Modified standard Capon beamformer
MVDR	Minimum variance distortionless response
RCB	Robust Capon beamformer
DL	Diagonal loading
SOI	Signal of interest
MUSIC	Multiple signal classification
ESPRIT	Estimation of signal parameter via rotational invariance
ML	Maximum likelihood
MDL	Minimum description length
TR	Time reversal
TR-MUSIC	Time reversal multiple signal classification
TR-ML	Maximum likelihood based Time reversal
CRLB	Cramer-Rao lower bound
EVD	Eigenvalue decomposition
SVD	Singular value decomposition
DWBA	Distorted wave Born approximation

Symbols and Notations

$(.)^*$	Complex conjugate of a matrix
$(.)^T$	Transpose of a matrix
$(.)^H$	Conjugate transpose of a matrix
$Tr(.)$	Trace of matrix
\Re	The real part of matrix
\Im	Imaginary part of a matrix
\oplus	Direct sum
$\text{Min}\{\dots\}$	Minimum of the argument list
$\text{Max}\{\dots\}$	Maximum of the argument list
\mathbf{I}_m	$(m \times m)$ identity matrix
$\text{Diag}(\mathbf{x})$	Diagonal matrix built with the component of the vector \mathbf{x}
$E[.]$	Statistical expectation operator
$\ .\ _F$	Frobenious norm
$J_n(.)$	Bessel function of the first kind of order n
$H_n(.)$	Hankel function of the first kind of order n
$J'_n(.)$	Derivative of Bessel function of the first kind of order n
$H'_n(.)$	Derivative of Hankel function of the first kind of order n
$h_n(.)$	Spherical Hankel function of the first kind of order n
$Y(.)$	Legendre polynomial